

عنوان مقاله:

Evaluation of Multiple Choice Questions in the School of Medicine Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences the First Semester of YooV

محل انتشار:

مجله گام های توسعه در آموزش پزشکی, دوره 5, شماره 2 (سال: 1388)

تعداد صفحات اصل مقاله: 10

نویسندگان:

Mohammadreza Haghshenas - Ph.D. in Virology, Assistant Professor of Microbiology and Parasitology Dept., School of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

Kourosh Vahidshahi - Pediatrician, Assistant Professor of Education Development Center, School of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

Mitra Mahmudi - Ph.D. in Pharmacology, Associate Professor of Physiology and Pharmacology Dept., School of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

Leyla Shahbaznejad - General Practitioner, Education Development Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

Nikoo Parvinnejad - General Practitioner, Education Development Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

Aazam Emadi - General Practitioner, Education Development Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

خلاصه مقاله:

Background & Objective: Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs) are the commonest form of exams in medical education which are highly reliable however their structural and content validity taxonomy and structural principles have always been considered by researchers This study was designed to evaluate quality of MCQs in the school medicine Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences regarding taxonomy and structural principles Methods: In this descriptive study all MCQs of written exams held during a whole semester in the school of medical in Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences were evaluated All questions were assessed according to taxonomy (in three levels of recall comprehension and application) and structure using a checklist which was based on Millmans principles for designing stem and choices of questions Results: Among IFYA questions related to Ya exams questions related to lessons of basic sciences physiopathology and clerkship were YAY% IAY% AY5% respectively YYF percent of questions were in Taxonomy I and the rest were designed in Taxonomy II and III FF percent of all questions had no structural problems while the rest had one or more structural problems Taxonomy and structural problems in exams related to clerkship and physiopathology were significantly less than basic science lessons (p=00 m and p=000) respectively) Questions of higher taxonomy had less structural problems Conclusion: Our present study showed that the quality of MCQs regarding structural principles and taxonomy were different in exams of different levels which necessitate further revisions

کلمات کلیدی: Educational improvement, Multiple Choice Question, Taxonomy, Millman's principles

لینک ثابت مقاله در پایگاه سیویلیکا:

https://civilica.com/doc/1850597

