

عنوان مقاله:

Evidence-Informed Deliberative Processes for Health Benefit Package Design - Part II: A Practical Guide

محل انتشار:

مجله بین المللی سیاست و مدیریت بهداشت, دوره 11, شماره 10 (سال: 1401)

تعداد صفحات اصل مقاله: 10

نویسندگان:

Wija Oortwijn - Department for Health Evidence, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Maarten Jansen - Department for Health Evidence, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Rob Baltussen - Department for Health Evidence, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

خلاصه مقاله:

Background Countries around the world are using health technology assessment (HTA) for health benefit package design. Evidence-informed deliberative processes (EDPs) are a practical and stepwise approach to enhance legitimate health benefit package design based on deliberation between stakeholders to identify, reflect and learn about the meaning and importance of values, informed by evidence on these values. This paper reports on the development of practical guidance on EDPs, while the conceptual framework of EDPs is described in a companion paper. **Methods** The first guide on EDPs (۲۰۱۹) is further developed based on academic knowledge exchange, surveying ۲۷ HTA bodies and ۶۶ experts around the globe, and the implementation of EDPs in several countries. We present the revised steps of EDPs and how selected HTA bodies (in Australia, Brazil, Canada, France, Germany, Scotland, Thailand and the United Kingdom) organize key issues of legitimacy in their processes. This is based on a review of literature via PubMed and HTA bodies' websites. **Results** HTA bodies around the globe vary considerable in how they address legitimacy (stakeholder involvement ideally through participation with deliberation; evidence-informed evaluation; transparency; and appeal) in their processes. While there is increased attention for improving legitimacy in decision-making processes, we found that the selected HTA bodies are still lacking or just starting to develop activities in this area. We provide recommendations on how HTA bodies can improve on this. **Conclusion** The design and implementation of EDPs is in its infancy. We call for a systematic analysis of experiences of a variety of countries, from which general principles on EDPs might subsequently be inferred.

کلمات کلیدی:

Health Technology Assessment, Evidence-Informed Deliberative Processes, Legitimacy, Health Benefit Package

لینک ثابت مقاله در پایگاه سیویلیکا:

<https://civilica.com/doc/2047913>

